

## REMARKS

Claims 1, 3-7, 9-19, 21-27, 29-34, 36-41, and 43-53 are pending in the present application. In the above amendments and claims 1, 3-4, 6-7, 14, 17, 22-23, 25, 34, 36-37, 47, 49, and 51-52 have been amended. Claim 24 has been canceled. No new claims have been added. Therefore, after entry of the above amendments, claims 1, 3-7, 9-19, 21-23, 25-28, 29-34, 36-41, and 43-53 will be pending in this application. Applicants believe that the present application is now in condition for allowance, which prompt and favorable action is respectfully requested.

### I. REJECTIONS UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 102

Claims 1, 3-7, 9-11, 13-19, 21-27, 32-34, 36-41, 43 and 45-50 as being unpatentable over Kim, U.S. Patent Publication No. 2003/0078061 (hereinafter “Kim”). Applicants have amended the claims to overcome this rejection.

The Office action asserts that Kim discloses a method and apparatus as per claims 1, 3-7, 9-11, 13-19, 21-27, 32-34, 36-41, 43 and 45-50. However, Applicants submit that Kim does not disclose “...a first wireless receiver receiving the stream over a wireless broadcast link, wherein the broadcast link is characterized by a first wireless principle; and a second receiver being provided with control data associated with the multimedia stream over a bidirectional wireless link, wherein the bidirectional wireless link is characterized by a second wireless principle “ as Claim 1 now recites.

Kim does not describe anywhere a first and second receiver wherein the first and second receivers are receiving multimedia stream and control data (respectively) and wherein the separate broadcast link and bidirectional wireless link use a first and second wireless principle (respectively). Kim describes “a radio signal processor 122 performs a low-pass filtering of down conversion in frequency to a radio frequency signal carrying the television broadcasting channel data received from an antenna ANT” [0039]. Kim describes the control (SSCH) and the data (CTBCH) in Fig. 11 as a time multiplexed wireless principle. Kim does not describe anywhere a first and second receiver or utilizing different wireless principles for the multimedia stream (data) and control links.

Thus, Kim does not teach or disclose all of the limitations of Claim 1 and the identical invention is not contained in the disclosure. For at least this reason Claim 1 is patentable.

Claims 17, 34, and 47 contain similar limitations as to Claim 1, and for at least the same reasons as stated for Claim 1, Claims 17, 34, and 47 are patentable.

Claims 3-7, 9-16, and 51 depend from independent Claim 1, and are patentable for at least the same reasons as stated with respect to Claim 1 and other novel features contained therein.

Claims 18-19, 21-23, 25-27, 29-33, and 52 depend from independent Claim 17, and are patentable for at least the same reasons as stated with respect to Claim 17 and other novel features contained therein.

Claims 36-41, 43-46, and 53 depend from independent Claim 34, and are patentable for at least the same reasons as stated with respect to Claim 34 and other novel features contained therein.

Claims 48-50 depend from independent Claim 47, and are patentable for at least the same reasons as stated with respect to Claim 47 and other novel features contained therein.

Therefore, for at least the foregoing reasons, Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the rejection under 35 U.S.C. §102.

## **II. REJECTIONS UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103**

Claims 12, 31, 44, and 51-53 are rejected as being unpatentable over Kim in view of McGarrahan et al. US 2003/0026424 (hereinafter “McGarrahan”), and in further view of McClellan, U.S. Patent Publication No. 2004/008794 (hereinafter “McClellan”). The rejection is respectfully traversed.

As stated with respect to Claim 1, Kim does not teach or disclose all of the limitations of Claim 1. Neither Kim, McGarrahan, nor McClellan independently or combined teach or disclose all of the limitations of Claim 1. Therefore Claim 1 is patentable. Claims 17 and 34 contain similar limitations as to Claim 1, and for at least the same reasons as stated for Claim 1, claims 17 and 34 are patentable.

Claims 12 and 51 depend from independent Claim 1, and are patentable for at least the same reasons as stated with respect to Claim 1 and other novel features contained therein.

Claims 31 and 52 depend from independent Claim 17, and are patentable for at least the same reasons as stated with respect to Claim 17 and other novel features contained therein.

Claims 44 and 53 depend from independent Claim 34, and are patentable for at least the same reasons as stated with respect to Claim 34 and other novel features contained therein.

Therefore, for at least the foregoing reasons Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the rejection under 35 U.S.C. §103.

## CONCLUSION

In light of the amendments contained herein, Applicants submit that the application is in condition for allowance, for which early action is requested.

Please charge any fees or overpayments that may be due with this response to Deposit Account No. 17-0026.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: August 8, 2007

By: Donald C. Kordich/  
Donald C. Kordich, Reg. No. 38,213  
(858) 658-5928

QUALCOMM Incorporated  
Attn: Patent Department  
5775 Morehouse Drive  
San Diego, California 92121-1714  
Telephone: (858) 658-5787  
Facsimile: (858) 658-2502